



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION N | 0. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/653,102 | | 08/31/2000 | Mark A. Nordlicht | 3024/FLK | 4063 |
| 7278 | 7590 | 10/13/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| DARBY | & DAR | BY P.C. | WEISBERGER, RICHARD C | | |
| P. O. BOX 5257 NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 3624 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 10/13/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/653,102 | NORDLICHT ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Richard C Weisberger | 3624 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relative to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period for reply will. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period for reply will. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period for reply will. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period for reply will. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period for reply will. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will. | 1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) The | nis action is non-final. | | | | | |
| ·— ·· | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 35-49 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 35-49 are subject to restriction and | rawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exami | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | • | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li | ents have been received. Ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received and (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/653,102

Art Unit: 3624

Ĵ

This case has been reassigned to Richard Weisberger. All rejections of record have been withdrawn. The examiner will make every attempt to expedite prosecution.

Election/Restrictions

- Claims 35-39, drawn to a method of trading options, classified in class
 705, subclass 40.
- 2. Claims 40 and 41, drawn to a method of determining trading parties, classified in class 705, subclass 40.
- 3. Claim 42, drawn to a method for real time trading, classified in class 705, subclass 40.
- 4. Claim 43, drawn to a method of making a request for a quote, classified in class 705, subclass 40.
- 5. Claim 44 a method for real time trading of options, drawn to 705, classified in class 705, subclass 40.
- 6. Claim 45, drawn to a method for real time trading of options, classified in class 705, subclass 40.
- 7. Claim 46, drawn to a method of real time trading, classified in class 705, subclass 40.
- 8. Claims 47, drawn to, a method for real time trading of options. for classified in class 705, subclass 40.

Application/Control Number: 09/653,102

Art Unit: 3624

1

9. Claims 48-49, drawn to a method of real time trading of options, classified in class 705, subclass 40.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 1. The inventions of groups 1-9 are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation.
- 2. A telephone call was made to the attorney of record on September 30, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard C Weisberger whose telephone number is 703 308 4408.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vince Millin can be reached on 703 308 1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/653,102 Page 4

Art Unit: 3624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard C Weisberger Primary Examiner Art Unit 3624